

Fast predictor-corrector methods for solving nonlinear time-fractional differential equations

Yongtao Zhou

A cooperative work with [Cui Li](#) and [Martin Stynes](#)

Beijing Computational Science Research Center
Henan University of Economics and Law

12th August 2022

Outline

1 Introduction

2 Second-order predictor-corrector method

3 Third-order predictor-corrector method

4 Concluding remarks

Review

Consider the nonlinear fractional initial-value problem (FIVP)

$${}^C D_0^\alpha y(t) = g(t, y(t)) \quad \text{for } t \in (0, T], \quad \text{with } y(0) = y_0, \quad (1.1)$$

where ${}^C D_0^\alpha$ is the Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, the nonlinear function g is assumed to be continuous and satisfies a Lipschitz condition in its second variable on a suitable set D :

$$|g(t, y) - g(t, \hat{y})| \leq \hat{L}|y - \hat{y}| \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]. \quad (1.2)$$

- **Direct method:** directly approximate the fractional derivative operator in (1.1);
- **Indirect method:** transform (1.1) into the corresponding integral form, and then use the numerical methods to solve the integral equation.

Direct method

- L1 type method

Keith Oldham & Jerome Spanier (Book, 1974);
Zhizhong Sun, & Xiaonan Wu (Appl. Numer. Math., 2006);
Yumin Lin & Chuanju Xu (J. Comput. Phys., 2007);
Martin Stynes et al. (SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 2017);
Honglin Liao et al. (SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 2018);

...

- L2 type method

Guanghua Gao et al. (J. Comput. Phys., 2014);
Anatoly A. Alikhanov (J. Comput. Phys., 2015);
Anatoly A. Alikhanov, Chengming Huang (Appl. Math. Comput., 2021);

...

- Convolution quadrature

Christian Lubich (SIAM J. Math. Anal., 1986);
Bangti Jin et al. (SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 2017);

...

- Spectral method

...

- Discontinuous Galerkin method

...

Indirect method

FIVP (1.1) is equivalent to the weakly singular Volterra integral equation

$$y(t) = y_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{s=0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s, y(s)) ds. \quad (1.3)$$

- Predictor-corrector method

Kai Diethelm et al., (Numer. Algorithms, 2004);

Weihua Deng (J. Comput. Appl. Math., 2007);

Changpin Li et al., (J. Comput. Phys., 2016);

Thien Binh Nguyen & Bongsoo Jang (Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal., 2017);

...

- Block-by-block method

Junying Cao & Chuanju Xu (J. Comput. Phys., 2013);

...

- Corrected methods

Wanrong Cao et al., (SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 2016);

...

Graded meshes

- A complication is that typical solutions of this class of problem lack regularity at the initial time. The maximum errors of most schemes are $O(N^{-\alpha})$ (see [Zhou & Stynes \(East Asian J. Appl. Math., 2022\)](#)):

L1 method;

$\overline{L1}$ method;

L2- 1_σ method;

...

- To address this difficulty in their numerical solution, it is by now well known that nonuniform meshes — specifically, **graded meshes** — are an efficient way of handling the initial weak singularity appearing in solutions;

[Martin Stynes et al. \(SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 2017\)](#);

[Jinye Shen et al. \(Submitted, 2022\)](#);

[Hu Chen & Martin Stynes \(J. Sci. Comput., 2019\)](#);

...

- Divide the interval $[0, T]$ into N sub-intervals by the mesh points $t_n := T(n/N)^r$ for $0 \leq n \leq N$. Here $r \geq 1$ is a user-chosen *mesh-grading parameter*.

The solution y of (1.1)

- Existence of a solution of (1.1) is studied in [Kai Diethelm, Book, 2010, Theorem 6.1].
- Uniqueness of this solution is guaranteed by [Kai Diethelm, Book, 2010, Theorem 6.5].

Furthermore, from Kai Diethelm et al., (Numer. Algorithms, 2004, Theorem 2.1) one sees that y has the structure described in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1

(Structure of solution y) Assume that the set D where the Lipschitz condition holds includes $\{(t, y(t)) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$, where $y \in C[0, T]$ is the solution of (1.1).

- (a) *Suppose that $g \in C^2(D)$. Then there exist a function $\psi \in C^1[0, T]$ and $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{\hat{v}} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$y(t) = \psi(t) + \sum_{v=1}^{\hat{v}} c_v t^{v\alpha} \quad \text{where } \hat{v} := \lceil 1/\alpha \rceil - 1.$$

- (b) *Suppose that $g \in C^3(D)$. Then there exist a function $\psi \in C^2[0, T]$ and $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{\hat{v}}, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{\tilde{v}} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$y(t) = \psi(t) + \sum_{v=1}^{\hat{v}} c_v t^{v\alpha} + \sum_{v=1}^{\tilde{v}} d_v t^{1+v\alpha} \quad \text{with } \hat{v} := \lceil 2/\alpha \rceil - 1, \tilde{v} := \lceil 1/\alpha \rceil - 1.$$

The solution y of (1.1)

- y can be written as a sum of singular and regular parts. In particular $y(t) \sim y_0 + c_1 t^\alpha$ near $t = 0$, so y has a weak singularity at $t = 0$.
- From Lemma 2.1 it follows that

$$y \in C[0, T] \cap C^2(0, T] \quad \text{with} \quad |y^{(k)}(t)| \leq C(1 + t^{\alpha-k}) \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, 2, \quad t \in (0, T]. \quad (2.1)$$

- For $z := {}^C D_0^\alpha y$ one has

$$z \in C[0, T] \cap C^2(0, T] \quad \text{with} \quad |z^{(k)}(t)| \leq C(1 + t^{\alpha-k}) \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, 2, \quad t \in (0, T]. \quad (2.2)$$

Predictor-corrector method (from Kai Diethelm et al., (Numer. Algorithms, 2004))

At each mesh point $t = t_{n+1}$, one can rewrite (1.3) as

$$y(t_{n+1}) = y_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \int_{s=t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{n+1} - s)^{\alpha-1} g(s, y(s)) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{s=t_n}^{t_{n+1}} (t_{n+1} - s)^{\alpha-1} g(s, y(s)) ds. \quad (2.3)$$

- The prediction stage: on each interval $I_j = [t_j, t_{j+1}]$, $0 \leq j \leq n$, replace $g(s, y(s))$ by $g_j = g(t_j, y_j)$;

$$y_{n+1}^P = y_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^n b_{j,n+1} g_j. \quad (2.4)$$

- The correction stage: on each interval $I_j = [t_j, t_{j+1}]$, $0 \leq j \leq n$, replace $g(s, y(s))$ by the linear interpolation of g_j and g_{j+1} ;

$$y_{n+1} = y_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\sum_{j=0}^n a_{j,n+1} g_j + a_{n+1,n+1} g(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}^P) \right). \quad (2.5)$$

- Different approximations of the history part are used in the prediction and correction stages; Too expensive; Order of convergence $N^{-\min\{2\alpha, 1+\alpha\}}$ (see [Yanzhi Liu et al. Numer. Algorithms, 2018, Theorem 1.5](#));

New predictor-corrector method

At each mesh point $t = t_{n+1}$, one can rewrite (1.3) as

$$y(t_{n+1}) = y_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \int_{s=t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{n+1} - s)^{\alpha-1} g(s, y(s)) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{s=t_n}^{t_{n+1}} (t_{n+1} - s)^{\alpha-1} g(s, y(s)) ds. \quad (2.6)$$

- The prediction stage: for $n = 0$, replace $g_y := g(s, y(s))$ by g_0 ; for $n \geq 1$, on each interval I_j for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, replace g_y by the linear interpolation of g_j and g_{j+1} , but on the final interval I_n , replace g_y by the linear function that interpolates to g_{n-1} and g_n ;

$$y_{n+1}^P = y_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (a_{j,0}^{n+1} g_j + a_{j,1}^{n+1} g_{j+1}) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (b_{n,0}^{n+1} g_{n-1} + b_{n,1}^{n+1} g_n). \quad (2.7)$$

- The correction stage: on each interval I_j for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$, replace g_y by the linear interpolation of g_j and g_{j+1} ;

$$y_{n+1} = y_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (a_{j,0}^{n+1} g_j + a_{j,1}^{n+1} g_{j+1}) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} [a_{n,0}^{n+1} g_n + a_{n,1}^{n+1} g(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}^P)]. \quad (2.8)$$

- Introduced by Nguyen & Jang ([Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal., 2017](#)) on uniform meshes, but we implement it on our graded mesh.

Error analysis of the second-order predictor-corrector method

For this error analysis, we give first some preparatory results.

Lemma 2.2

[Alfio Quarteroni and Alberto Valli, Book, 1994, Lemma 1.4.2] (Classical discrete Gronwall inequality) Let $\{k_j\}_{j=0}^N$ and $\{q_j\}_{j=0}^N$ be nonnegative sequences. Let $d_0 \geq 0$. Assume that the sequence $\{\phi_n\}_{n=0}^N$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \phi_0 \leq d_0, \\ \phi_n \leq d_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} q_j + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} k_j \phi_j \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq N. \end{cases}$$

Then one has

$$\phi_n \leq \left(d_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} q_j \right) \exp \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} k_j \right) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq N.$$

Error analysis of the second-order predictor-corrector method

Lemma 2.3

Let $w \in C[0, T] \cap C^2(0, T]$. Assume that $|w^{(k)}(t)| \leq C(1 + t^{\alpha-k})$ for $k = 0, 1, 2$ and all $t \in (0, T]$. For $n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$, set

$$I_1^{n+1} = \left| \sum_{j=0}^n \int_{s=t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{n+1} - s)^{\alpha-1} (w - \Pi_{1,j}w)(s) ds \right|,$$

$$I_2^{n+1} = \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \int_{s=t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{n+1} - s)^{\alpha-1} (w - \Pi_{1,j}w)(s) ds + \int_{s=t_n}^{t_{n+1}} (t_{n+1} - s)^{\alpha-1} (w - \Pi_{1,n-1}w)(s) ds \right|.$$

Then

$$I_1^{n+1} + I_2^{n+1} \leq C \begin{cases} N^{-2r\alpha} & \text{for } r\alpha < 1, \\ N^{-2} \ln N & \text{for } r\alpha = 1, \\ N^{-2} & \text{for } r\alpha > 1. \end{cases} \quad 0 \leq n \leq N - 1.$$

Error analysis of the second-order predictor-corrector method

We can now prove an error bound for our predictor-corrector solution.

Theorem 2.4

Recall that $y(t)$ is the solution of (2.6) and $\{y_j\}_{j=0}^N$ is the solution of the second-order predictor-corrector method (2.7) and (2.8). One has

$$|y(t_j) - y_j| \leq C \begin{cases} N^{-2r\alpha} & \text{for } r\alpha < 1, \\ N^{-2} \ln N & \text{for } r\alpha = 1, \\ N^{-2} & \text{for } r\alpha > 1. \end{cases} \quad 1 \leq j \leq N.$$

Remark 2.1

Our predictor-corrector method and its error analysis can easily be generalised to the FIVP (1.1) with $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, with two initial conditions specifying $y(0)$ and $y'(0)$. In this setting the error for $1 \leq j \leq N$ satisfies the bound

$$|y(t_j) - y_j| \leq C \begin{cases} N^{-2} \ln N & \text{for } r\alpha = 1, \\ N^{-2} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

on our graded mesh.

Fast evaluation of the second-order predictor-corrector method

- To reduce the computational cost and storage requirements of our predictor-corrector method (2.7) and (2.8), we use the sum-of-exponentials (SOE) technique of [Shidong Jiang et al. *Commun. Comput. Phys.*, 2017].
- For the history part, we replace the kernel $t^{\alpha-1}$ by its SOE approximation. Then

$$\bar{y}_{n+1}^P = y_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_{exp}} \varpi_i P_i^n + b_{n,0}^{n+1} \bar{g}_{n-1} + b_{n,1}^{n+1} \bar{g}_n \right), \quad (2.9)$$

$$\bar{y}_{n+1} = y_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_{exp}} \varpi_i P_i^n + a_{n,0}^{n+1} \bar{g}_n + a_{n,1}^{n+1} g(t_{n+1}, \bar{y}_{n+1}^P) \right). \quad (2.10)$$

where P_i^n can be get by using a recursive relation

$$P_i^n = e^{-s_i \tau_{n+1}} P_i^{n-1} + A_{i,0}^{n+1} \bar{g}_{n-1} + A_{i,1}^{n+1} \bar{g}_n \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N_{exp}, 1 \leq n \leq N-1.$$

Fast evaluation of the second-order predictor-corrector method

Theorem 2.5

Recall that $y(t)$ is the solution of (2.6) and $\{\bar{y}_j\}_{j=0}^N$ is the solution of the fast second-order predictor-corrector method (2.9) and (2.10). Then

$$|y(t_j) - \bar{y}_j| \leq C\epsilon + C \begin{cases} N^{-2r\alpha} & \text{for } r\alpha < 1, \\ N^{-2} \ln N & \text{for } r\alpha = 1, \\ N^{-2} & \text{for } r\alpha > 1. \end{cases} \quad 1 \leq j \leq N.$$

An FIVP numerical example

Example 2.6

Consider the FIVP ${}^C D_0^\alpha y(t) = y(t) - t^3 + \frac{6t^{3-\alpha}}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)}$ for $t \in (0, 1]$, subject to $y(0) = 1$. Its exact solution is $y(t) = E_\alpha(t^\alpha) + t^3$, where

$$E_\alpha(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + 1)}$$

is the standard Mittag-Leffler function. Thus,

$${}^C D_0^\alpha y(t) = \frac{6t^{3-\alpha}}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)} + 1 + \frac{t^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \frac{(t^\alpha)^2}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} + \dots,$$

that is, ${}^C D_0^\alpha y(t) \sim 1 + t^\alpha/\Gamma(\alpha+1)$ near $t = 0$.

An FIVP numerical example

Table: Maximum nodal errors, convergence orders and CPU times of PCM, fPCM and PCLiu for Example 2.6 with $\alpha = 0.4$.

| N | r | PCM | | | fPCM | | | PCLiu | | |
|------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|------|---------------|--------|-------|
| | | err_N | p_N | CPU | err_N^f | p_N | CPU | err_N^{Liu} | p_N | CPU |
| 512 | 1 | 1.6747e-3 | - | 0.77 | 1.6747e-3 | - | 0.11 | 2.5478e-3 | - | 1.14 |
| 1024 | | 8.8251e-4 | 0.9242 | 2.79 | 8.8251e-4 | 0.9242 | 0.21 | 9.6958e-4 | 1.3938 | 4.23 |
| 2048 | | 4.7328e-4 | 0.8989 | 11.10 | 4.7328e-4 | 0.8989 | 0.39 | 4.7328e-4 | 1.0347 | 16.83 |
| 4096 | | 2.5752e-4 | 0.8781 | 47.14 | 2.5752e-4 | 0.8781 | 0.80 | 2.5752e-4 | 0.8781 | 67.16 |
| EOC | | | 0.8 | | | 0.8 | | | 0.8 | |
| 512 | $\frac{1+\alpha}{2\alpha}$ | 2.8666e-5 | - | 0.72 | 2.8666e-5 | - | 0.14 | 3.3740e-3 | - | 1.08 |
| 1024 | | 1.0588e-5 | 1.4369 | 2.75 | 1.0588e-5 | 1.4369 | 0.26 | 1.2830e-3 | 1.3950 | 4.21 |
| 2048 | | 3.9484e-6 | 1.4231 | 10.98 | 3.9484e-6 | 1.4231 | 0.54 | 4.8719e-4 | 1.3969 | 16.69 |
| 4096 | | 1.4814e-6 | 1.4143 | 43.83 | 1.4814e-6 | 1.4143 | 1.12 | 1.8485e-4 | 1.3981 | 67.29 |
| EOC | | | 1.4 | | | 1.4 | | | 1.4 | |
| 512 | $\frac{2}{2\alpha}$ | 3.3281e-5 | - | 0.73 | 3.3240e-5 | - | 0.17 | 5.0311e-3 | - | 1.08 |
| 1024 | | 9.1429e-6 | 1.8640 | 2.77 | 9.1102e-6 | 1.8674 | 0.33 | 1.9151e-3 | 1.3934 | 4.22 |
| 2048 | | 2.4145e-6 | 1.9209 | 10.91 | 2.3823e-6 | 1.9352 | 0.68 | 7.2759e-4 | 1.3962 | 16.85 |
| 4096 | | 6.2386e-7 | 1.9525 | 43.55 | 5.3970e-7 | 2.1421 | 1.45 | 2.7613e-4 | 1.3978 | 67.13 |
| EOC | | | 2 | | | 2 | | | 1.4 | |
| 512 | $\frac{2.5}{2\alpha}$ | 4.8397e-5 | - | 0.71 | 4.8377e-5 | - | 0.19 | 6.5953e-3 | - | 1.10 |
| 1024 | | 1.3551e-5 | 1.8366 | 2.77 | 1.3751e-5 | 1.8148 | 0.39 | 2.5126e-3 | 1.3923 | 4.22 |
| 2048 | | 3.6150e-6 | 1.9063 | 10.97 | 2.6800e-6 | 2.3592 | 0.80 | 9.5493e-4 | 1.3957 | 16.87 |
| 4096 | | 9.3930e-7 | 1.9443 | 43.74 | 6.8790e-7 | 1.9619 | 1.69 | 3.6247e-4 | 1.3975 | 66.89 |
| EOC | | | 2 | | | 2 | | | 1.4 | |

Second-order predictor-corrector method for a nonlinear time-fractional Benjamin-Bona-Mahony-Burgers equation

Consider the following nonlinear time-fractional Benjamin-Bona-Mahony-Burgers (BBMB) initial-boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_0^\alpha(u - u_{xx}) + \gamma uu_x - \lambda u_{xx} = f(x, t, u) & \text{for } (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T], \\ u(x, 0) = \varphi(x) & \text{for } x \in \bar{\Omega} \\ u(x, t) = 0 & \text{for } (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T], \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

where $\lambda (\geq 0)$ and γ are constants, f satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$|f(x, t, u) - f(x, t, v)| \leq L|u - v| \text{ for } L > 0, u, v \in \mathbb{R}, (x, t) \in \bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]. \quad (2.12)$$

We assume that (2.11) has a unique solution which satisfies the following bounds for $(x, t) \in \bar{\Omega} \times (0, T]$:

$$\left| \frac{\partial^l u}{\partial x^l}(x, t) \right| \leq C \text{ for } l = 3, 4; \quad (2.13a)$$

$$u(\cdot, t) \in C[0, T] \cap C^2(0, T], \quad \left| \frac{\partial^{l+k} u}{\partial x^l \partial t^k}(x, t) \right| \leq C(1 + t^{\alpha-k}) \text{ for } l = 0, 1, 2, k = 0, 1, 2. \quad (2.13b)$$

Remarks

- For notational simplicity we assume that $\Omega = (x_L, x_R) \subset \mathbb{R}^1$; our method and its analysis can be extended without difficulty to rectangular domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d > 1$ whose sides are parallel to the coordinate planes.
- The BBMB equation is a mathematical model of the propagation of small amplitude long waves in certain nonlinear dispersive media system that improves the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation.
- For efficient numerical methods for BBMB solution, see [Benjamin et al., *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, 1972; Dehghan Mehdi et al., *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 2014; Qifeng Zhang and Lingling Liu, *J. Sci. Comput.*, 2021] and their references.

Useful notations

- BBMB equation can be rewritten as the following integro-differential equation:

$$u(x, t) - u_{xx}(x, t) = G(x, t) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{s=0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} F(x, s, u) ds$$

for $(x, t) \in (x_L, x_R) \times (0, T]$, (2.14)

where

$$G(x, t) := u(x, 0) - u_{xx}(x, 0) = \varphi(x) - (\varphi_{xx})(x),$$

$$F(x, t, u) := \lambda u_{xx}(x, t) - \gamma (uu_x)(x, t) + f(x, t, u(x, t)).$$

- Introduce the following notations:

$$h = (x_R - x_L)/M, \quad x_i = x_L + ih \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq M,$$

$$\delta_x v_i = \frac{v_i - v_{i-1}}{h}, \quad \Delta_x v_i = \frac{v_{i+1} - v_{i-1}}{2h},$$

$$\delta_x^2 v_i = \frac{v_{i+1} - 2v_i + v_{i-1}}{h^2}, \quad J(v_i, w_i) = v_i \Delta_x w_i.$$

Second-order predictor-corrector method

Predictor-corrector method for (2.11):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (u^P)_i^{n+1} - \delta_x^2 (u^P)_i^{n+1} = G(x_i, t_{n+1}) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (a_{j,0}^{n+1} F_i^j + a_{j,1}^{n+1} F_i^{j+1}) \right. \\ \quad \left. + b_{n,0}^{n+1} F_i^{n-1} + b_{n,1}^{n+1} F_i^n \right], \\ u_i^{n+1} - \delta_x^2 u_i^{n+1} = G(x_i, t_{n+1}) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (a_{j,0}^{n+1} F_i^j + a_{j,1}^{n+1} F_i^{j+1}) \right. \\ \quad \left. + a_{n,0}^{n+1} F_i^n + a_{n,1}^{n+1} (F^P)_i^{n+1} \right], \\ u_i^0 = \varphi(x_i) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq M, \quad u_0^j = u_M^j = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq N, \end{array} \right. \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$F_i^j := \lambda \delta_x^2 u_i^j - \gamma J(u_i^j, u_i^j) + f(x_i, t_j, u_i^j) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

$$(F^P)_i^{n+1} := \lambda \delta_x^2 (u^P)_i^{n+1} - \gamma J((u^P)_i^{n+1}, (u^P)_i^{n+1}) + f(x_i, t_{n+1}, (u^P)_i^{n+1}).$$

Fast second-order predictor-corrector method

Fast predictor-corrector method for (2.11):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\bar{u}^P)_i^{n+1} - \delta_x^2 (\bar{u}^P)_i^{n+1} = G(x_i, t_{n+1}) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_{exp}} \varpi_i Q_i^n + b_{n,0}^{n+1} \bar{F}_i^{n-1} + b_{n,1}^{n+1} \bar{F}_i^n \right), \\ \bar{u}_i^{n+1} - \delta_x^2 \bar{u}_i^{n+1} = G(x_i, t_{n+1}) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_{exp}} \varpi_i Q_i^n + a_{n,0}^{n+1} \bar{F}_i^n + a_{n,1}^{n+1} (\bar{F}^P)_i^{n+1} \right), \\ Q_i^0 = 0, \quad Q_i^n = e^{-s_i \tau_{n+1}} Q_i^{n-1} + A_{i,0}^{n+1} \bar{F}_i^{n-1} + A_{i,1}^{n+1} \bar{F}_i^n \\ \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N_{exp}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N-1, \\ \bar{u}_i^0 = \varphi(x_i) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq M, \quad \bar{u}_0^j = \bar{u}_M^j = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq N, \end{array} \right. \quad (2.16)$$

where

$$\bar{F}_i^j := \lambda \delta_x^2 \bar{u}_i^j - \gamma J(\bar{u}_i^j, \bar{u}_i^j) + f(x_i, t_j, \bar{u}_i^j) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

$$(\bar{F}^P)_i^{n+1} := \lambda \delta_x^2 (\bar{u}^P)_i^{n+1} - \gamma J((\bar{u}^P)_i^{n+1}, (\bar{u}^P)_i^{n+1}) + f(x_i, t_{n+1}, (\bar{u}^P)_i^{n+1}).$$

Error analysis of the predictor-corrector method

Lemma 2.7

Let the sequences $\{k_{\theta,j}\}_{j=0}^N$ for $\theta = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ satisfy $k_{\theta,j} \geq 0$ for all θ and j . Let $d_0 \geq 0$. Assume that the sequences $\{\phi_n\}_{n=0}^N$ and $\{\phi_n^P\}_{n=0}^N$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq \phi_0 \leq d_0, \\ 0 \leq \phi_{n+1}^P \leq d_0 + \sum_{j=0}^n (k_{1,j} \phi_j + k_{2,j} \phi_j^2) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq n \leq N-1, \\ 0 \leq \phi_{n+1} \leq d_0 + \sum_{j=0}^n (k_{3,j} \phi_j + k_{4,j} \phi_j^2) + k_{5,n+1} \phi_{n+1}^P + k_{6,n+1} (\phi_{n+1}^P)^2 \\ \quad \text{for } 0 \leq n \leq N-1. \end{cases} \quad (2.17)$$

For $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, define

$$\begin{aligned} C_0^n &:= \max \left\{ 1 + k_{3,0} + k_{4,0} d_0 + k_{5,1} (1 + k_{1,0} + k_{2,0} d_0) + k_{6,1} d_0 (1 + k_{1,0} + k_{2,0} d_0)^2, \right. \\ &\quad \left. (1 + k_{5,n+1} + k_{6,n+1}) \exp \left[\sum_{j=0}^n (k_{3,j} + k_{4,j}) + (k_{5,n+1} + k_{6,n+1}) \sum_{j=0}^n (k_{1,j} + k_{2,j}) \right] \right\}, \\ \hat{C}_0^n &:= 1 + \left(\max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} C_0^i \right) \sum_{j=0}^n (k_{1,j} + k_{2,j}). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $C_0^n d_0 \leq 1$ and $\hat{C}_0^n d_0 \leq 1$ for $0 \leq n \leq N-1$. Then one has

$$\phi_{n+1} \leq C_0^n d_0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq n \leq N-1. \quad (2.18)$$

Error analysis of the predictor-corrector method

Theorem 2.8

Recall that u and $\{u_i^n\}$ are the solutions of (2.11) and (2.15), respectively. Assume that (2.12) and (2.13) hold true. Then for all sufficiently large N and all sufficiently small h

$$\|u(\cdot, t_j) - u^j\|_{H^1} \leq Ch^2 + C \begin{cases} N^{-2r\alpha} & \text{for } r\alpha < 1, \\ N^{-2} \ln N & \text{for } r\alpha = 1, \\ N^{-2} & \text{for } r\alpha > 1. \end{cases} \quad 1 \leq j \leq N.$$

Theorem 2.9

Recall that u and $\{\bar{u}_i^n\}$ are the solutions of (2.11) and (2.16), respectively. Assume that (2.12) and (2.13) hold true. Then for all sufficiently large N and all sufficiently small h

$$\|u(\cdot, t_j) - \bar{u}^j\|_{H^1} \leq C\epsilon + Ch^2 + C \begin{cases} N^{-2r\alpha} & \text{for } r\alpha < 1, \\ N^{-2} \ln N & \text{for } r\alpha = 1, \\ N^{-2} & \text{for } r\alpha > 1. \end{cases} \quad 1 \leq j \leq N.$$

Remark:

$$\langle v, w \rangle = h \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} v_i w_i, \quad \|v\| = \sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle}, \quad |v|_1 = \sqrt{\langle \delta_x v, \delta_x v \rangle}, \quad \|v\|_{H^1} = \sqrt{\|v\|^2 + |v|_1^2}.$$

Numerical example

Example 2.10

Consider the following nonlinear time-fractional BBMB equation

$${}^C D_0^\alpha (u - u_{xx}) + uu_x - u_{xx} - u(1 - u) = f(x, t) \quad \text{for } x = (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega, t \in (0, 1],$$

where $\Omega = (0, \pi) \times (0, \pi)$; the function f and the initial-boundary value conditions are determined by the analytical solution $u(x_1, x_2, t) = \frac{1}{2}(t^\alpha + t^{2\alpha})(\sin x_1)(\sin x_2)$, which has the weakly singular behaviour assumed in (2.13). One can check that

$${}^C D_0^\alpha (u - u_{xx})(x, t) = \frac{3}{2} \left[\Gamma(\alpha + 1) + \frac{2\alpha\Gamma(2\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} t^\alpha \right] (\sin x_1)(\sin x_2);$$

that is, ${}^C D_0^\alpha (u - u_{xx})(x, t)$ behaves like $C(1 + t^\alpha)$ near $t = 0$ for each fixed x .

Numerical example

Table: Global errors, convergence orders and CPU times of PCM, fPCM and PCLiu for Example 2.10 with $\alpha = 0.4$ and $M = N$.

| N | r | PCM | | | fPCM | | | PCLiu | | |
|-----|----------------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| | | $E(M, N)$ | p_t | CPU | $E(M, N)^f$ | p_t | CPU | $E(M, N)^{Liu}$ | p_t | CPU |
| 12 | 1 | 2.4709e-2 | - | 0.04 | 2.4709e-2 | - | 0.04 | 1.8042e-2 | - | 0.04 |
| 24 | | 1.3372e-2 | 0.8859 | 0.45 | 1.3372e-2 | 0.8859 | 0.39 | 6.0026e-3 | 1.5877 | 0.45 |
| 48 | | 7.0795e-3 | 0.9175 | 25.72 | 7.0795e-3 | 0.9175 | 25.33 | 5.2572e-3 | 0.1913 | 25.95 |
| 96 | | 3.7852e-3 | 0.9033 | 1351.18 | 3.7852e-3 | 0.9033 | 1384.25 | 3.7096e-3 | 0.5030 | 1391.36 |
| EOC | | | | 0.8 | | | 0.8 | | | 0.8 |
| 12 | $\frac{1+\alpha}{2\alpha}$ | 9.8060e-3 | - | 0.03 | 9.8057e-3 | - | 0.03 | 2.6408e-2 | - | 0.03 |
| 24 | | 2.6098e-3 | 1.9097 | 0.41 | 2.6098e-3 | 1.9097 | 0.40 | 8.0697e-3 | 1.7104 | 0.40 |
| 48 | | 8.3609e-4 | 1.6422 | 22.58 | 8.3609e-4 | 1.6422 | 22.38 | 2.5415e-3 | 1.6668 | 22.13 |
| 96 | | 3.2204e-4 | 1.3764 | 1376.02 | 3.2204e-4 | 1.3764 | 1345.20 | 8.2799e-4 | 1.6180 | 1385.82 |
| EOC | | | | 1.4 | | | 1.4 | | | 1.4 |
| 12 | $\frac{2}{2\alpha}$ | 8.1472e-3 | - | 0.02 | 8.1469e-3 | - | 0.03 | 3.6417e-2 | - | 0.02 |
| 24 | | 2.3802e-3 | 1.7752 | 0.41 | 2.3798e-3 | 1.7754 | 0.40 | 1.1454e-2 | 1.6687 | 0.40 |
| 48 | | 6.3484e-4 | 1.9066 | 22.15 | 6.3441e-4 | 1.9074 | 21.75 | 3.6840e-3 | 1.6365 | 21.59 |
| 96 | | 1.6359e-4 | 1.9564 | 1282.64 | 1.6313e-4 | 1.9594 | 1272.54 | 1.2202e-3 | 1.5942 | 1351.20 |
| EOC | | | | 2 | | | 2 | | | 1.4 |
| 12 | $\frac{2.5}{2\alpha}$ | 5.8548e-3 | - | 0.03 | 5.8545e-3 | - | 0.03 | 4.5929e-2 | - | 0.03 |
| 24 | | 2.0454e-3 | 1.5172 | 0.42 | 2.0451e-3 | 1.5174 | 0.40 | 1.4753e-2 | 1.6384 | 0.40 |
| 48 | | 5.8051e-4 | 1.8170 | 22.61 | 5.8008e-4 | 1.8178 | 22.28 | 4.7998e-3 | 1.6199 | 22.31 |
| 96 | | 1.5361e-4 | 1.9180 | 1388.66 | 1.5316e-4 | 1.9212 | 1381.92 | 1.6015e-3 | 1.5835 | 1395.45 |
| EOC | | | | 2 | | | 2 | | | 1.4 |

Numerical example

Table: Global errors, convergence orders and CPU times of PCM, fPCM and PCLiu for Example 2.10 with $\alpha = 0.8$, $r = 2/(2\alpha)$ and $N = 10000$.

| M | PCM | | | fPCM | | | PCLiu | | |
|-----|-----------|--------|--------|-------------|--------|--------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| | $E(M, N)$ | p_x | CPU | $E(M, N)^J$ | p_x | CPU | $E(M, N)^{Liu}$ | p_x | CPU |
| 6 | 9.0018e-2 | - | 101.41 | 9.0017e-2 | - | 5.65 | 9.0018e-2 | - | 159.01 |
| 8 | 2.4275e-2 | 1.8907 | 114.83 | 2.4274e-2 | 1.8908 | 12.00 | 2.4275e-2 | 1.8907 | 178.08 |
| 16 | 6.3393e-3 | 1.9371 | 177.68 | 6.3380e-3 | 1.9373 | 47.99 | 6.3393e-3 | 1.9371 | 259.29 |
| 32 | 1.6196e-3 | 1.9686 | 788.40 | 1.6183e-3 | 1.9695 | 548.89 | 1.6197e-3 | 1.9686 | 862.69 |
| EOC | | 2 | | | 2 | | | 2 | |

Third-order predictor-corrector method

- The proposed method is analyzed under the following regularity assumptions on the solution:

$$y \in C[0, T] \cap C^3(0, T] \quad \text{with} \quad |y^{(k)}(t)| \leq C(1+t^{\alpha-k}) \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \quad t \in (0, T]. \quad (3.1)$$

- Then, $z := {}^C D_0^\alpha y$ satisfies that

$$z \in C[0, T] \cap C^3(0, T], \quad |z^{(k)}(t)| \leq C(1+t^{\alpha-k}) \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \quad t \in (0, T]. \quad (3.2)$$

Third-order predictor-corrector method

At each mesh point $t = t_{n+1}$, one can rewrite (1.3) as

$$y(t_{n+1}) = y_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \int_{s=t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{n+1} - s)^{\alpha-1} g(s, y(s)) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{s=t_n}^{t_{n+1}} (t_{n+1} - s)^{\alpha-1} g(s, y(s)) ds. \quad (3.3)$$

The prediction stage:

- When $n = 0$, we use $g_y(t_0)$ to approximate $g_y(t)$ on the interval $[t_0, t_1]$;
- When $n = 1$, we use $\Pi_{1,0}g_y(t)$ to approximate $g_y(t)$ on the intervals $[t_0, t_1]$ and $[t_1, t_2]$;
- When $n \geq 2$, we use $\Pi_{1,0}g_y(t)$ to approximate $g_y(t)$ on the first small interval $[t_0, t_1]$, $\Pi_{2,j}g_y(t)$ to approximate $f_y(t)$ on each interval $[t_j, t_{j+1}]$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$) and $\Pi_{2,n-1}g_y(t)$ to approximate $g_y(t)$ on the last small interval $[t_n, t_{n+1}]$.

$$y_{n+1}^P = y_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\sum_{j=0}^n d_j^{n+1} g_j + c_{n,-1}^{n+1} g_{n-2} + c_{n,0}^{n+1} g_{n-1} + c_{n,1}^{n+1} g_n \right). \quad (3.4)$$

The correction stage: we use $\Pi_{1,0}g_y(t)$ to approximate $g_y(t)$ on the first small interval $[t_0, t_1]$ and $\Pi_{2,j}g_y(t)$ to approximate $g_y(t)$ on the intervals $[t_j, t_{j+1}]$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$).

$$y_{n+1} = y_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\sum_{j=0}^n d_j^{n+1} g_j + b_{n,-1}^{n+1} g_{n-1} + b_{n,0}^{n+1} g_n + b_{n,1}^{n+1} g_{n+1}^P \right). \quad (3.5)$$

Error analysis of the third-order predictor-corrector method

Lemma 3.1

Let $w \in C[0, T] \cap C^3(0, T]$. Suppose that $|w^{(k)}(t)| \leq C(1+t^{\alpha-k})$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$, $t \in (0, T]$. For $n \geq 0$, we define

$$I_3^{n+1} = \left| \int_{s=t_0}^{t_1} (t_{n+1} - s)^{\alpha-1} (w - \Pi_{1,0}w)(s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{s=t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{n+1} - s)^{\alpha-1} (w - \Pi_{2,j}w)(s) ds \right|,$$

$$I_4^{n+1} = \left| \int_{s=t_0}^{t_1} (t_{n+1} - s)^{\alpha-1} (w - \Pi_{1,0}w)(s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{s=t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{n+1} - s)^{\alpha-1} (w - \Pi_{2,j}w)(s) ds \right. \\ \left. + \int_{s=t_n}^{t_{n+1}} (t_{n+1} - s)^{\alpha-1} (w - \Pi_{2,n-1}w)(s) ds \right|.$$

Then

$$I_3^{n+1} + I_4^{n+1} \leq C \begin{cases} N^{-2r\alpha} & \text{for } 1 \leq r < \frac{3}{2\alpha}, \\ N^{-3} \ln N & \text{for } r = \frac{3}{2\alpha}, \\ N^{-3} & \text{for } r > \frac{3}{2\alpha}. \end{cases} \quad 0 \leq n \leq N-1.$$

Error analysis of the third-order predictor-corrector method

We can now prove an error bound for our predictor-corrector solution.

Theorem 3.2

Assume that $y(t_j)$ and $\{y_j\}_{j=0}^N$ are the solutions of (2.6) and the third-order predictor-corrector method (3.4), (3.5), respectively. Assume also that (3.1) holds true. Then

$$|y(t_j) - y_j| \leq C \begin{cases} N^{-2r\alpha} & \text{for } 1 \leq r < \frac{3}{2\alpha}, \\ N^{-3} \ln N & \text{for } r = \frac{3}{2\alpha}, \\ N^{-3} & \text{for } r > \frac{3}{2\alpha}. \end{cases} \quad 0 \leq n \leq N - 1.$$

Fast evaluation of the third-order predictor-corrector method

For the history part, we replace the kernel $t^{\alpha-1}$ by its SOE approximation, Then, we get the fast predictor-corrector method

$$\begin{cases} \bar{y}_{n+1}^P = y_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_{exp}} \varpi_i \bar{p}_i^n + c_{n,-1}^{n+1} \bar{g}_{n-2} + c_{n,0}^{n+1} \bar{g}_{n-1} + c_{n,1}^{n+1} \bar{g}_n \right), \\ \bar{y}_{n+1} = y_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_{exp}} \varpi_i \bar{p}_i^n + b_{n,-1}^{n+1} \bar{g}_{n-1} + b_{n,0}^{n+1} \bar{g}_n + b_{n,1}^{n+1} \bar{g}_{n+1}^P \right), \\ \bar{p}_i^0 = 0, \quad \bar{p}_i^1 = \int_{s=t_0}^{t_1} e^{-s_i(t_{n+1}-s)} (L_{0,0} \bar{g}_0 + L_{0,1} \bar{g}_1) ds \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, N_{exp}, \\ \bar{p}_i^n = e^{-s_i \tau_{n+1}} \bar{p}_i^{n-1} + A_{i,-1}^{n+1} \bar{g}_{n-2} + A_{i,0}^{n+1} \bar{g}_{n-1} + A_{i,1}^{n+1} \bar{g}_n \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, N_{exp}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots, N. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

Fast evaluation of the third-order predictor-corrector method

Theorem 3.3

Assume that $y(t_j)$ and $\{\bar{y}_j\}_{j=0}^N$ are the solutions of (2.6) and the fast third-order predictor-corrector method (3.6), respectively. Assume also that (3.1) holds true. Then

$$|y(t_j) - \bar{y}_j| \leq C\epsilon + C \begin{cases} N^{-2r\alpha} & \text{for } 1 \leq r < \frac{3}{2\alpha}, \\ N^{-3} \ln N & \text{for } r = \frac{3}{2\alpha}, \\ N^{-3} & \text{for } r > \frac{3}{2\alpha}. \end{cases} \quad 1 \leq j \leq N.$$

Numerical example

Example 3.4

Consider the following FDEs with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$:

$${}^C D_0^\alpha y(t) = -y(t), \quad t \in (0, 1]; \quad y(0) = 1. \quad (3.7)$$

The exact solution of (3.7) is $y(t) = E_\alpha(-t^\alpha)$, where

$$E_\alpha(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + 1)}$$

is the Mittag-Leffler function. Since

$${}^C D_0^\alpha y(t) = -1 - \frac{-t^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} - \frac{(-t^\alpha)^2}{\Gamma(2\alpha + 1)} - \dots,$$

that is, ${}^C D_0^\alpha y(t)$ behaves as $C(1 + t^\alpha)$.

Numerical example

Table: Global errors, convergence orders and CPU times of PCM and fPCM for problem (3.7) with $\alpha = 0.5$.

| N | r | PCM | | | fPCM | | |
|-----|-------------------------|----------------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|-------|
| | | err_N | p | CPU | err_N^f | p | CPU |
| 64 | 1 | 1.1732e-3 | - | 2.58 | 1.1732e-3 | - | 3.34 |
| 128 | | 6.9056e-4 | 0.7646 | 9.51 | 6.9056e-4 | 0.7646 | 6.95 |
| 256 | | 4.1422e-4 | 0.7374 | 39.85 | 4.1422e-4 | 0.7374 | 14.99 |
| 512 | | 2.3219e-4 | 0.8351 | 162.84 | 2.3219e-4 | 0.8351 | 32.26 |
| EOC | | | | 1 | | | 1 |
| 64 | $r = \frac{2}{2\alpha}$ | 1.0150e-4 | - | 2.41 | 1.0150e-4 | - | 4.68 |
| 128 | | 1.8584e-5 | 2.4493 | 9.61 | 1.8584e-5 | 2.4493 | 9.97 |
| 256 | | 4.2737e-6 | 2.1205 | 39.23 | 4.2737e-6 | 2.1205 | 22.57 |
| 512 | | 1.0898e-6 | 1.9715 | 157.12 | 1.0898e-6 | 1.9715 | 48.61 |
| EOC | | | | 2 | | | 2 |
| 64 | $r = \frac{3}{2\alpha}$ | 8.3324e-6 | - | 2.44 | 8.3324e-6 | - | 5.71 |
| 128 | | 8.1803e-7 | 3.3485 | 9.53 | 8.1803e-7 | 3.3485 | 13.35 |
| 256 | | 9.6599e-8 | 3.0821 | 39.48 | 9.6599e-8 | 3.0821 | 29.22 |
| 512 | | 1.2096e-8 | 2.9975 | 159.67 | 1.2096e-8 | 2.9975 | 65.48 |
| EOC | | | | 3 | | | 3 |
| 64 | $r = \frac{4}{2\alpha}$ | 3.5974e-6 | - | 2.41 | 3.5974e-6 | - | 6.95 |
| 128 | | 3.6817e-7 | 3.2885 | 8.71 | 3.6817e-7 | 3.2885 | 15.04 |
| 256 | | 4.1714e-8 | 3.1418 | 35.14 | 4.1714e-8 | 3.1418 | 33.36 |
| 512 | | 4.9751e-9 | 3.0677 | 142.50 | 4.9751e-9 | 3.0677 | 73.69 |
| EOC | | | | 3 | | | 3 |

Numerical example

Example 3.5

Consider the following Benjamin-Bona-Mahony-Burgers equation

$${}^C D_0^\alpha (u - u_{xx}) + uu_x - u_{xx} = f(x, t) \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1], \quad (3.8a)$$

$$u(x, 0) = \sin(\pi x) \quad \text{for } x \in [0, 1], \quad u(0, t) = u(1, t) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (0, 1], \quad (3.8b)$$

the function f , the initial-boundary value conditions are determined by the exact solution $u(x, t) = (1 + t^\alpha + t^{2\alpha}) \sin(\pi x)$. One can check that

$${}^C D_0^\alpha (u - u_{xx})(x, t) = \left[\Gamma(\alpha + 1) + \frac{2\alpha\Gamma(2\alpha)}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} t^\alpha \right] (1 + \pi^2) \sin(\pi x)$$

behaves as $C(1 + t^\alpha)$.

Numerical example

Table: Global errors and convergence orders of PCM and fPCM for problem (3.8) with $r = 3/(2\alpha)$ and $M = 8000$.

| Scheme | N | $\alpha = 0.4$ | | $\alpha = 0.6$ | | $\alpha = 0.8$ | |
|--------|-----|----------------|--------|----------------|--------|----------------|--------|
| | | $E_{M,N}$ | p_t | $E_{M,N}$ | p_t | $E_{M,N}$ | p_t |
| PCM | 12 | 6.4472e-2 | - | 3.8631e-3 | - | 4.8683e-4 | - |
| | 24 | 3.1108e-3 | 4.3733 | 2.5987e-4 | 3.8939 | 4.4781e-5 | 3.4425 |
| | 48 | 1.7218e-4 | 4.1753 | 2.2876e-5 | 3.5058 | 5.7787e-6 | 2.9541 |
| | 96 | 1.1986e-5 | 3.8445 | 2.4634e-6 | 3.2151 | 7.9723e-7 | 2.8577 |
| EOC | | | 3 | | 3 | | 3 |
| fPCM | 12 | 6.4472e-2 | - | 3.8631e-3 | - | 4.8683e-4 | - |
| | 24 | 3.1108e-3 | 4.3733 | 2.5987e-4 | 3.8939 | 4.4781e-5 | 3.4425 |
| | 48 | 1.7218e-4 | 4.1753 | 2.2876e-5 | 3.5058 | 5.7787e-6 | 2.9541 |
| | 96 | 1.1986e-5 | 3.8445 | 2.4634e-6 | 3.2151 | 7.9723e-7 | 2.8577 |
| EOC | | | 3 | | 3 | | 3 |

Numerical example

Table: Global errors, convergence orders and CPU times of PCM and fPCM for problem (3.8) with $\alpha = 0.8$, $r = 3/(2\alpha)$ and $N = 2000$.

| M | PCM | | | fPCM | | |
|-----|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------|--------|
| | $E(M, N)$ | p_x | CPU | $E(M, N)^f$ | p_x | CPU |
| 8 | 8.9024e-2 | 1.9377 | 2141.30 | 8.9024e-2 | 1.9377 | 134.57 |
| 16 | 2.2690e-2 | 1.9722 | 2131.37 | 2.2690e-2 | 1.9722 | 133.85 |
| 32 | 5.7260e-3 | 1.9864 | 2164.59 | 5.7260e-3 | 1.9864 | 132.92 |
| 64 | 1.4382e-3 | 1.9932 | 2158.93 | 1.4382e-3 | 1.9933 | 137.41 |
| EOC | | 2 | | | 2 | |

Concluding remarks

- The second-order and third-order predictor-corrector methods of Nguyen and Jang [Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal., 2017, 447–476] are generalised to graded meshes to solve nonlinear fractional initial-value problems whose typical solutions have a weak singularity at the initial time.
- In comparison with existing predictor-corrector methods in the literature, this new methods significantly improve the numerical accuracy while reducing the computational cost.
- To increase its computational efficiency still further, the corresponding fast algorithms based on the sum-of-exponentials approximation to the kernel of the scheme are described.
- The methods (and its fast variant) are then extended to solve the nonlinear time-fractional Benjamin-Bona-Mahony-Burgers (BBMB) initial-boundary value problem, combined with a standard discretisation of the spatial derivatives on a uniform mesh.
- Several numerical experiments show the sharpness of our theoretical error bounds for both problems.

Thank you for your attention!

Yongtao Zhou

yongtaozhou@csrc.ac.cn